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#### Abstract

We present an efficient synthetic route towards two kinds of perylene-porphyrin arrays. Starting from 5, 10, 15, 20-meso-tetraphenylporphyrin, two novel $9 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{9 b}$ were designed and synthesized with $40.3 \%$ and $35.1 \%$ yield, respectively.
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The development of porphyrin arrays with the potential to undergo controlled energy or electron transfer has led to the production of prototypical molecular-scale devices such as wires, logic devices, switches and gates ${ }^{1,2,3}$, essential for the miniaturization of electronic componentry and technology. Wasielewski and coworkers ${ }^{2}$ have pioneered the synthesis of perylene-porphyrin array in which the electron acceptor perylene was covalently bonded to two porphyrins with a phenyl linker (Scheme 1). However, the yield of the expected array was very low ( $<0.4 \%$ ) because the condensation of pyrrole with the mixture of 1-hexanal and $p$-nitrobenzaldehyde would give six products, which were difficult to separate and purify to obtain meso-tripentyl-mono-( $p$-nitrophenyl)porphyrin. Considering the great significance of perylene-porphyrin arrays shown by Wasielewski and Lindsy's groups ${ }^{2,4}$, it is necessary to explore an effective route to prepare these arrays. Here we report a new efficient synthetic route (Scheme 2) to prepare peryleneporphyrin arrays with the yield, which is over 30 times than that of the literature method ${ }^{2}$. Our strategy is as follows:

According to literature ${ }^{5}, \mathbf{2 a}^{7}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}$ were prepared by condensing $\mathbf{1}$ with $n$-butylamine and $n$-dodecylamine in yield of $40.3 \%$ and $25.5 \%$, respectively. 7 was often obtained by condensing pyrrole with the mixture of benzaldehyde and p-nitro-benzaldehyde, which is similar to the method of Wasielewski et $a l^{2}$. The yield of mono-nitrified product 7 was no more than $1 \%$. We modified this route through direct nitration of $5,10,15,20$-tetraphenylporphyrin $\mathbf{6}^{6}$, which was obtained in a yield of $35.2 \%$ by convenient condensation of pyrrole and benzaldehyde in chloroacetic acid and xylol under reflux. When $\mathbf{6}$ consumed completely, the reaction mixture was neutralized with dilute ammonium hydroxide. The dark brown solution was washed with $5 \times 400$ mL water and the separated organic layer was collected. After drying over anhydrous
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Regents and conditions: I) $2 \mathrm{a}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 58-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h} ; 2 \mathrm{~b}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{PrOH}=1: 1,3 \mathrm{~h}$; II) $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$, xylol, reflux; III) fuming nitric acid, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IV) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{HCl}, 65-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; V)2a or 2b, Imidazole, $m$-cresol, $170-175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
sodium sulfate the solution was concentrated to $50-70 \mathrm{~mL}$ and purified on a silica gel column eluting with chloroform. The first dark brown band containing 7 was collected. The eluate was evaporated to dryness, the solid sample was recrystallized from 5:1 mixture of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ and the raw product 7 was obtained in a yield of $58.8 \%$.

Reduction of 7 was performed according to a method described by Kruper et al. ${ }^{6}$. 2.0 g of 7 was dissolved in 100 mL of concentrated hydrochloric acid into which 3.0 g of tin (II)-chloride dihydrate was added subsequently. The obtained green solution was heated to $65-70 \square$ with stirring for 1.5 h . After cooling, 250 mL of water was added into the solution and green solid was separated out by filtration. The solid was dispersed in 150 mL water then dilute ammonium hydroxide was added to bring the pH of suspension to $8-9$. The brown-violet mixture was extracted with $3 \times 300 \mathrm{~mL}$ portions of chloroform. The organic layer was separated, filtered, washed with water, then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and evaporated to dryness. The product was purified on a silica gel column with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ as eluent. The first band was collected and the yield of 8 was $89.4 \%$.
$\mathbf{2 a}(0.447 \mathrm{~g}), \mathbf{8}(0.630 \mathrm{~g})$ and imidazole $(0.50 \mathrm{~g})$ were dissolved in $25 \mathrm{~mL} m$-cresol in nitrogen atmosphere. The solution was heated to $170-175 \square$ and stirred for 24 hrs ; after cooled to $100 \square$ it was poured into 150 mL methanol. The precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with methanol several times. The cake was repeatedly dispersed in $1 \%$ hot potassium hydroxide, and filtered to remove soluble precipitate of 2a. Then the crude product was dissolved in 100 mL chloroform and stirred overnight. The derived purple precipitate $\mathbf{9 a}$ was filtered, $\mathbf{8}$ left in filterate. The solid was dissolved in 30 mL hot $m$-cresol and poured into methanol again. The resulting precipitate was washed repeatedly with hot aqueous potassium hydroxide (1\%) solution, followed by water and methanol. After drying under vacuum at $100 \square$ overnight, the product $9 \mathbf{a}^{7,8,9}$ was obtained as a dark red-violet solid ( $76.7 \%$ based on $\mathbf{8}$ ). 9b was synthesized by the same procedures in the yield of $66.7 \%$.

Benzaldehyde was condensed with pyrrole to obtain 6 in $35.2 \%$ yields. 6 was nitrified directly to give mono-nitrified tetraphenylporphyrin 7 in $58.8 \%$ yields. 7 was reduced with $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give corresponding monoamine $\mathbf{8}$ in $89.4 \%$. $\mathbf{8}$ was reacted with 2 to obtain expected arrays $\mathbf{9 a}$ and $\mathbf{9 b}$ in yield of $14.2 \%$ and $12.3 \%$, which were calculated from pyrrole ( $30.9 \%$ and $28.9 \%$ from compound 1), respectively. The products were fully characterized by IR, UV, element analysis and ${ }^{1} H$ NMR. From the fluorescence spectrum, $\mathbf{9 a}$ and $\mathbf{9 b}$ exhibit ultrafast photoinduced charge transfer rather than energy transfer. The investigation on the photochemical and photophysical properties of these molecular arrays and the synthesis of other perylene-porphyrin arrays are in progress.
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